

CANDOUR

*The British
Views - Letter*

To defend national sovereignty against the menace of international finance

Vol XLIII Nos 6-7

Registered at the G.P.O. as a Newspaper

June-July 1991

St. Paul's, 1936



"This is the first time we've been actually *invited*."

. . . and it wasn't the last!

Read inside about the apostasy committed at the recent Assembly of the World Council of Churches, during which syncretism, animism, spiritism and more than a nodding acquaintance with New Age heresies were clearly present in the sermons and presentations.

The A.B.C. of Politics

HISTORY - WHO CAN BELIEVE IT?

by ROSINE de BOUNEVIALLE

HAPPINESS, they say, has no history. To a large extent this appears to be true since all but the first three chapters of the first book out of over fifty volumes relating to the history of mankind, from his creation to the coming of God's promised Saviour, are concerned with the tale of disasters resulting from what befell our first parents in the Garden of Eden.

One dictionary definition of history is "the department of knowledge that records and analyses past events." Up to about A.D. 90 this is what the Testaments Old and New did because they were written by men who, however periodically delinquent, really were chosen and inspired by God Who made them. The records relate both the glory and the shame, rewards and punishments, victories and defeats of this people from Eden to Bethlehem, from Jordan to Rome.

The pagans also, who by the time of Christ's coming made up the majority of the world population, had strayed so far from the belief in the one true God they had no reason to deny or conceal their motive forces, which ranged from the search for Nirvana to the adoration of idols whose supposed appetites demanded regular human sacrifices. Still, always at the core remained some scent of the lost truth...a rumour of a woman with a child, somehow sacred, haunted the wings of the less bloodthirsty philosophies; and the idea of sacrifice to please or to propitiate. But nowhere in all those twisted faiths is it the priest who offers himself for sacrifice. The pagans also knew that it is the innocent victim who makes the perfect sacrifice. Paganism reached its apogee in the Roman republic and had passed it when its gods sank to being mere emperors.

Universal Hegemony

The vision of God's Chosen People also had shrunk from the pristine promise of eternal salvation followed by heavenly bliss to the mundane desire to be rid of hated Rome, and see in its stead themselves in universal hegemony. So when their Messiah did come, and was deemed unsuitable by all the "upper classes" except for Nicodemus and Joseph of Arimathea, it became essential either to bend, conceal or falsify the true story to any extent necessary to promote the desired end. This is what the perfidious Jews did then and have continued to do from that day to this.

When the "wise men came from the East" and asked King Herod of Judea where was he who had been born King of the Jews, the King sent for his wise men to ask them where Christ was to be born. He was told, "Bethlehem in Judea, for it was written by the prophet..." Immediately, deception began. When the wise men, being warned in a dream, did not come back "so that Herod could go and adore the child too," he killed all the boys in Bethlehem aged two years and under, and presumably never knew that Jesus with Mary and Joseph were long gone to safety in Egypt.

So for thirty years the Jews place-sought, plotted and quarreled with the Roman Governor in comparative peace. Then came Jesus' public ministry; the teaching; the compassion; all those miracles; even people being raised from the dead! Everything showing that this was indeed the Messiah, the Truth, the Anointed One. Thousands of people followed him over the countryside; listened to Him condemning the moneylenders, the hypocrites, the self-righteous; running rings round the Pharisees whenever they tried to trap him into uttering sedition.

We can imagine what would have happened if the Jews and not the Romans had been the ultimate authority. No appearing on the equivalent of television for Him - he made the interviewers look both silly and malevolent. After one highly successful rally (even with no Cable Street mob to make it appear a riot) the High Priest and the Elders met in panic to find a way to put Him to death. To accomplish that end, they employed a traitor whom they despised; violated their own legal principles and, at last, in frenzy denied their own unique faith whose personification was standing before them: "We have no king but Caesar."

Timeless Technique

Look around today: the technique has not changed in two thousand years. When the soldiers deputed by the Chief Priests to guard Christ's tomb returned on the Sunday morning in a state of shock saying that there had been an earthquake and a dazzling angel had rolled away the stone from the empty tomb saying Jesus had risen, their employers could find nothing better to do than bribe them to say that the body had been stolen by His followers whilst they (presumably) were asleep! And that is the likely story the unbelieving Jews still tell today. It must have been one hell of a bribe! But seemingly, the Bishop of Durham accepts this feeble tale as history. Wonderful what reiteration through the available media will do, especially when enough time has elapsed to destroy the witnesses and produce a plethora of phoney evidence - or vice versa. Does that remind us of anything?

So what does history have to say about the Mystical Body of Christ? Yes - the Church. Well, up to the 13th century, what with the profitable loan business provided by the financing of Crusades and other Christian enterprises, the Church had a reasonably good "press". However, the conscience of Edward I of England brought an end to all that. He is the "anti-Semite" responsible for the proto-Holocaust of the Expulsion. The Plantagenets had not much luck after that; until the last of the royal line, Richard of Gloucester - after figuring in every English history book as a brilliant soldier from his youth; a loyal and beloved brother to Edward IV; the popular and just Governor of Northumberland - is then, without any evidence or explanation, depicted in those same history books as a hunchbacked monster who cold-bloodedly murdered his innocent nephews (his dead brother's sons left to his charge) to gain the throne which had been offered to him anyway. Minors as sovereigns posing likely levers for the ambitious were not popular in those more realistic times.

This volte-face becomes somewhat less inexplicable if the reader realises that the script was compiled by an ally of the usurping Henry Tudor; a remote family connection who by the aid of traitors triumphed in the battle in which Richard III was killed and the "crown plucked from a thorn bush." A subsequent glance into Henry VII's royal cupboard

would have found it positively littered with skeletons of legitimate and pretended heirs to his usurped throne. Yet five hundred years later even the most ignorant student of what passes for English history "knows" that the last Plantagenet monarch was the most horrific royal villain ever, whilst Henry Tudor and his equally lethal progeny are "let off with a caution," so to speak, because they "broke the thrall of foreign domination (i.e. the Pope) and made England great."

All except Bloody Mary, of course. She burned heretics whom she could just as easily have had hanged, drawn and quartered for high treason, and by Bills of Attainder have recovered the wealth they had acquired via the stolen abbey lands. So it served her right, didn't it? And Good Queen Bess disposed of Mary, Queen of Scots, rightful heir of England. She took a long time about it simply because she could not find anyone incautious enough to be an assassin for so dangerous a boss. So she had to put her own name on the death warrant of her legitimate cousin and sister Queen who had sought sanctuary with her from the Scottish rebels financed, not for the first time, by the powers behind the English throne. But... "Brutus was an honourable man." Elizabeth was a great English woman!

[To be continued]

THE CREATION OF A RUINOUS MONSTER IN EUROPE

I WOULD ask readers when considering all the press froth over 'Europe' to remember that when the thirteen separate states, already in a confederation, tried to negotiate the closer union which became the U.S.A., it took them three months with doors and windows locked so that no hint of their deliberations should leak out. After all that, they had to promise a right to opt out, although this was not written in to the constitution. Seventy years later, they fought a devastating civil war from which they have still not fully recovered, simply over the - by now forgotten - "right" to opt out.

Those who would lead us blindfold into "Europe" without even understanding the difference between a federation and a confederation risk having a lot of blood on their hands when the various peoples of Europe realise that in the name of free trade, which they could have had anyway, quite simply they have created a monster which is ruining the Third World, ruining small businesses, ruining domestic agriculture, depriving their own parliaments of the right to levy their own taxes and control their own immigration, and giving overwhelming powers to a small bureaucracy which cannot begin to police the gigantic frauds generated by its insane rules.

LESLIE VON GOETZ

EAR WE GO AS ITALIAN FARMERS MILK THE E.C.

A LOONY E.C. scheme to end the milk lake has left hundreds of Italian cows with only one ear. The crazy scheme for slaughtering cows was dreamed up by Brussels bureaucrats. Farmers handing over a cow's ear - to prove the animal was dead - were given a subsidy of about £100. But crafty Italian farmers conned the E.C. Commission by cutting off hundreds of ears without killing the cows and continued to sell their milk. The fraud is costing as much as £4000 million per year.

THE SAME OLD CONSPIRACY

by DON BELL

IF plans go as expected, by the time of reading this article there will have been an economic summit for the heads of state of the Trilateral countries in London on July 14th., and President Gorbachev has been invited to attend. But - and this is important - preparing for that economic summit there was held a super-secret meeting of the Bilderberg Group, near Baden-Baden in Germany, between June 7th. and 9th. The controlled communications media never, never, mention the Bilderbergers. But **The Spotlight** weekly has for years made it a top priority to keep tabs as much as is possible on this super-secret group. Its weekly issue of June 10th. said there would be "approximately 120 luminaries" including "David Rockefeller, Henry Kissinger, the Rothschilds of Britain and Europe, many recent heads of state and high officials of the United States, Canada and Europe. The Bilderberg has, as usual, an ambitious agenda, already set in motion by its junior partner, the Trilateral Commission, which met in Tokyo in April."

Preparing The Agenda

The Spotlight does not say this outright, but we suspect that the Bilderberg meeting was called for the purpose of preparing the agenda that is to be handed to the government leaders who will attend the July 14th. Economic Summit. The Bilderberg Group is composed of world leaders and their assistants; while the Economic Summit is attended by government leaders and their aides. And, since these economic summits first began, world leaders meet in secret session to prepare the programmes that are supposed to be carried out by the government leaders. Since the world leaders are hoping to have their New World Order installed and fully operational by the millennial year 2000, the present agenda will probably include the following.

1. Provision for the United Nations to lay and collect taxes on shipments between countries, beginning with a 10 cent tax per barrel on oil. The "Tax Treaty" has already been prepared, but has not yet been presented for approval by the U.N. member nations.
2. The General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (G.A.T.T.), in its upcoming "Uruguay Round," is to be pressured into eliminating all country-declared tariffs.
3. Passage of the U.S.-Mexico free-trade pact, for which President Bush is so strenuously campaigning at present, and is to be recognised by all nations. This U.S.-Canada-Mexico North American Common Market (N.A.C.O.M.) is intended to be developed into an all-Hemisphere Common Market, a trading bloc similar to that of the European Common Market which is to become a political regional world government next year. [If Bilderberg Thatcher has her States-side call taken up, these two blocs would in turn be merged to realise the fifty-year-old goal of Federal Union - Editor.]
4. In Europe all still-existing trade barriers are to be eliminated and a single currency installed, controlled by a Regional World Bank.
5. The many economic problems of the Soviet Union must be solved, and the fifteen nations that make up the Union must stay within the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, with Moscow at its head.
6. Japan must be encouraged to create a third regional world government to match the other two: Europe and America.

Some time ago the world leaders gave up the idea of creating a World Government "from the top down." They agreed that it had to be done piece-by-piece. A part of this programme involved the creation of three huge trading blocs: Europe, North America and the Far East. That is why the Trilateral Commission membership is divided into three sections: North America (U.S., Canada and Mexico to be added); the industrial nations of Europe; and Japan. First, these are to become economic, that is, trading blocs. Later, they are to become political, as Europe is becoming now. And finally, the three will become regional world governments (George Orwell guessed it right in his 1984). Finally, to regulate and control any differences between these three Regional Governments, the reconstituted and strengthened United Nations will assume the role of World Government with all legal, judicial and military powers to maintain control over the three regional governments (Orwell did not write about this part of the plot).

That is why the Trilateral Commission was created. And it has generally been assumed that Zbigniew Brzezinski was the actual author of the plan, because David Rockefeller called upon Zbig to form the Trilateral Commission. But lately we remembered a very important book which we had received years ago. Here we found the entire plan for the creation of the New Wrld Order, spelled out in black and white as early as 1921, and presented to the Insiders in book form in 1941. **Plan For Permanent Peace** is its title; written by a former German Ph.D., Hans Heyman, and published by Harper & Bros. in 1941.

Another "Refugee" From Germany!

In a foreword by Richard Ely, then of Columbia University, we are told: "Dr. Heyman presents a plan for a Bank of Nations. We already have, and in the near future can expect to see, many more plans for an international world hegemony. Dr. Heyman's approach differs from those we already have in that he treats of economics rather than politics... [He] had a distinguished career in Germany which he was ultimately forced to give up on leaving that country. He brings us many valuable lessons from the Old World to help us build a new world." Thirty years earlier Heyman's acquaintance, Paul Warburg, also came from the Old World to help build and manage the Federal Reserve system.

While in Europe Heyman received much encouragement from Keynes, Lloyd George, the Rothschilds; but Walter Rathenau was his chief promoter. Rathenau said, "Three hundred men, all of whom know one another, direct the economic destinies of Europe and choose their successors from among themselves." He knew; he was one of them. Heyman wrote that in July 1922 "Rathenau invited me to his home to discuss further action." Two days before the meeting Rathenau was assassinated. So "in 1923 I visited England and the United States." He held conferences with Warburg and Benjamin Strong of the Federal Reserve Board. By 1941, thanks to J.P. Morgan and his successors, Wall Street began to outrank the City of London as an international bankers' centre, and Hans Heyman and his "Bank of the World" plan was drawing attention. The Rockefeller Foundations, Carnegie Endowment, the Council on Foreign Relations, members of the Federal Reserve Board and other financial luminaries praised his plan and he wrote his book **Plan For Permanent Peace**. The only trouble was that the end of the Second World War was spawning the International Monetary Fund, World Bank and regional financial institutions, and Heyman's original plan lost prestige with the founding of the United Nations.

But in the 1970s came the Trilateral Commission and its plan for the creation of three huge trading regions, and Heyman's plan was given new life. Trying to condense 315 pages plus numerous drafts and diagrams is difficult. Suffice it to say that Heyman's **Plan For Permanent Peace** is a blue-print for world government to be created through financial and economic control with the establishment of a world Bank of Nations and three subordinate regional banks: Europa Bank, Inter-American or Hemisphere Bank and Oriental Bank. "This all-embracing super-organisation of banks would be the highest economic world authority, the Federal World Board for the entire world economy," Heyman wrote. Of course, a world police force, one world currency with all control of currency and credit worldwide lodged in the files of the Bank of Nations would follow. And the economic system would be neither Capitalist nor Communist, but the synthesis which Heyman called "Social Capitalism."

Compare this with the Trilateral Commission plan and you must agree with Solomon who said, "There is no new thing under the sun." Styles and customs change, new techniques and strategies develop. But it is the same old conspiracy.

DON BELL is editor of the newsletter **Don Bell Reports**, which is available from Post Office Box 2223, Palm Beach, Florida 33480, U.S.A. for an air mail subscription of fifty dollars a year.

TUNNEL CHANNEL

AH, yes! There's "Federation"
As if we didn't know!
We made no bones about it
All those years ago.
Sovereignty was something
Not to get in the way
Of Tory's constant urge for
National felo de se!
To be the little province
When Gino, Jacques and Fritz
Could master-mind all options
For by-the-nose-led Brits.
But constant over-conning
Must reap a just reward
And Tory hype and harvest
Was floored by Poll Tax fraud.
Thus, votes are going elsewhere -
No better but at least
A change from Tory fable
Of a Continental feast.
"Sovereignty" is sacred!
"Federal" a dirty word!
The Nation is all glowing!
So whispers little bird!
But come the next election
Should Tories not be sunk
They'll make another U-turn
To all that Brussels bunk.

OUR INTERNATIONALISED ECONOMY

"IN the past couple of decades, the integration of international economies has continued apace, increasing the number of instances in which supra-national authority is needed. Three separate economic trends have been crucial:

"Much more of our national income is open to the competition of international trade in goods and services than before. Cross-border capital flows have sharply increased in the 1980s as a result of the abolition of exchange controls in most major economies. Companies have become increasingly multi-national through foreign acquisitions and direct investments.

"Remember that, for all the rhetoric, Margaret Thatcher was the one who signed the Single European Act, moving a whole raft of policy (e.g. the regulation of financial markets, take-over controls and most of industrial policy) up to the E.C. level."

B.E. BIGGS

Sunday Telegraph, 7th July 1991

W.C.C. PROMOTES A ONE-WORLD RELIGION

by EDWARD CAIN

THE recent assembly of the World Council of Churches confirmed that it is promoting the establishment of a one-world religion as one of the main pillars of a New World Order. Two things are necessary to form a one-world religion: first, Christianity must be destroyed, and then practices and concepts from other religions must be introduced into what remains of the Christian faith in order to merge them. The World Council of Churches is doing both.

"Come Holy Spirit - Renew The Whole Creation" was the overall theme of the WCC's Seventh Assembly, held in Canberra from February 7th to 20th. The theme was further spelt out in four sub-themes: Giver Of Life - Sustain Your Creation; Spirit Of Truth - Set Us Free; Spirit of Unity - Reconcile Your People; and Holy Sprit - Transform And Sanctify Us. The third sub-theme was not a call for the unity of Christians. It urged the unifying of people of all religions, even those "who have no faith" (that is, atheists). In other words, the WCC aims to unite all mankind.

Pagan Practices At The Assembly

The WCC laid great stress on contact with the Australian Aborigines who worship their ancestors and demon spirits. The worship which opened the Assembly began with an Aboriginal cleansing ceremony involving smoke from burning gum leaves and a traditional ritual with a "message stick" in which the natives gave permission for participants to enter Australia! As near naked and painted men pranced, Assembly delegates and visitors were obliged to pass through the pall of dense smoke. "The rite was linked with a Christian understanding of the cleansing and refining fire of the Holy Spirit," reports the WCC's Ecumenical Press Service. Participants were told that by passing through the smoke they would be cleansed, thus joining Aboriginal and Christian spirituality!

Miss Chung Hyun Kyung, a theology professor and minister of the Presbyterian Church of South Korea, gave the second keynote address of a two-part theological introduction to the Assembly theme. She arrived on the stage dancing with two Aboriginal men, in paint and loincloths, and sixteen Korean men with gongs, drums and banners. She invited the audience to "get on holy ground with me by taking off your shoes while we are dancing to prepare the way of the sprit." Many did.

Then, with a candle burning on either side of her, she invoked the spirits of the dead by reading the names from a printed list. Among the departed spirits she invoked were Hagar, Uriah, male babies killed by Herod, Joan of Arc, Jewish people killed in the gas chambers, Mahatma Gandhi, Steve Biko, Martin Luther King, Malcolm X and finally "the spirit of our Liberator, our brother Jesus, tortured and killed on the cross." She then burned the list and scattered the ashes into the air.

"In my tradition people who are killed or die unjustly become wandering spirits, the Han-ridden spirits," she said in her dramatic address. "They are all over the place, seeking the chance to make the wrong right. Therefore, the living people's responsibility is to listen to the voices of the Han-ridden spirits... These Han-ridden spirits in our people's history have been agents through whom the Holy Spirit has

spoken her compassion and wisdom. Without hearing the cries of these spirits we cannot hear the voice of the Holy Spirit."

After continuing to expound various aspects of Korean spiritism and equating them with elements of the Christian message, she concluded, "For me, the image of the Holy Spirit comes from the image of Kwan In. She is venerated as a goddess of compassion and wisdom by East Asian women's popular religiosity. She is the enlightened being. She can go into Nirvana [a Hindu concept of heaven] at any time she wants to, but refuses. Her compassion for all suffering living beings makes her stay in this world, enabling other living beings to achieve enlightenment. She waits and waits until the whole universe, people, trees, birds, mountains, air, water, become enlightened. They can then go to Nirvana together where they can live collectively in eternal wisdom and compassion. Perhaps this might also be a feminine image of the Christ."

She went on: "I no longer believe in an omnipotent, macho, warrior God who rescues all good guys and punishes all bad guys... Liberation theologues re-read the Bible and re-interpret Christian tradition and theology from their experience of oppression and liberation. But we have to re-read the Bible from the perspective of birds, water, air, trees and mountains, the most wretched of the earth in our times. Changing our centre from human beings to all living beings, has become our responsibility in order to survive."

Indecent Dance With Aboriginal Man

Her speech ended in a dance with one of the Aboriginal men which, according to one visitor, "for the sake of decency is best left undescribed"! Nevertheless, the plenary session gave her a standing ovation. The WCC's endorsement of Miss Chung's presentation confirms that it is attempting to blend Christian and pagan practices and concepts to produce a one-world religion.

Ten official Assembly guests came from non-Christian religions. They included Hindus, Jews, Buddhists, a Muslim, a Sikh and a Shintoist. Dr Wesley Ariarajah, the WCC's Director of Inter-Faith Dialogue, said, "My understanding of God's love is too broad for me to believe that only this narrow segment called the Christian Church will be saved... If you are a Christian you must be open and broad, not narrow and exclusive." In a message to all churches and Christians, the Assembly stated: "The presence of representatives of other world religions as guests in the Assembly reminded us of the growing need to respect the image of God in all people, to accept each other as neighbours and to admit our common responsibility with them for God's creation, including humanity."

It is little wonder that the headline in **The Australian** on February 11th went: ALL FAITHS ARE ONE WITH GOD - WCC. The article began: "The World Council of Churches took ecumenism to its farthest limits at the week-end, suggesting Muslims, Hindus and others achieved salvation in the same way as Christians and warned the latter against 'narrow' thinking."

EDITOR'S NOTE - Haven't we heard all this before? In July 1936 there was held in London a congress of the World Fellowship of Faiths. 1,000 delegates purported to represent all Christian denominations, together with the ancient religions of the East and the modern sects of the West. Its aim? "World unity" between all the religions of the globe.

C U T A N D T H R U S T

JANUS offers candid comment on news from home and abroad

WIDESPREAD abuse of the political asylum system has been discovered by immigration officials. About forty gangs have been identified who charge as much as £1,000 to help people evade airline, ferry company and immigration checks. They arrive on bogus passports and then claim political asylum. After a 500 per cent increase in would-be migrants the Home Office plans to tighten up the law. However, other ministries are blocking their efforts. The Foreign Office, in particular, viewed the plans as politically sensitive, given concern about the fate of the Kurds. As we appear to be abandoning the Kurds to the mercies of Saddam Hussein, this seems to be a trifle hypocritical. No doubt when we are fully integrated with Europe all these difficulties will vanish. Anyone with the slightest claim to E.C. nationality will be able to come at will. The immigration officials can be re-deployed as social workers, ministering to the needs of the newcomers about where they can go to obtain their rights.

*

*

*

*

COMMON Market officials, like the good Europeans that they are, celebrate all religious or secular holidays in the E.C. In May, for instance, they commenced with May Day, which fell on a Wednesday. It was hardly worth returning to work for the couple of days before the weekend. The 6th was a British bank holiday. The 8th was V.E. Day (although the Germans celebrated this less enthusiastically than some). Schuman Day fell on Thursday the 9th., and it was not really worth coming in just for the Friday. Then there was the 27th - a holiday in Britain and Greece - followed by Corpus Christi (a Thursday) and so on. In this hard-working country we may feel jealous, but really we should consider how much more mischief the E.C. officials could create if they worked full-time!

*

*

*

*

AT the end of June a crowded House of Commons heard the far left Labour M.P., Jeremy Corbyn, present a Bill to ban the hunting of deer with hounds. Many of the Members who sat listening sympathetically to Corbyn's speech were the very same individuals who voted only last year in favour of abortion up to the point of birth. It would seem that those M.P.s who are so rightly concerned about animal welfare display an alarming disregard for the welfare of innocent little babies. A deep moral vacuum must lie at the heart of Western civilisation if sympathy for animals has replaced concern for the unborn child.

*

*

*

*

ONE cannot expect prisoners discharged from gaol to leave naked. As a result, those whose clothing is in poor condition or out of date are issued with free garments. Jeans, trainers and anoraks are acceptable to most inmates, but the more "dated" items, such as grey trousers and sports jackets, tend to be left on the hangers. Possibly those long-stay prisoners who were admitted in Teddy-boy outfits or whatever the fashion was twenty or thirty years ago might feel embarrassed at being thrust out into the world, but whose fault is that? Is there any

reason that they should not pay for their own clothes out of the money that they earn while inside? After a twenty year stretch, an inmate should have accumulated enough cash to kit himself from head to toe. If really hard up, he could be escorted a few days before his release to the nearest charity shop. There would be no disgrace in this - plenty of honest and hard-working people take their custom to such places.

* * * *

AUSTRALIA has reviewed its controversial business migration programme which was meant to bring in entrepreneurs with at least £150,000 to invest. Unfortunately, according to the police, the scheme, which attracts up to 3,000 mainly Asian migrants a year, has brought in more crime than capital. Chinese Triad gangs are laundering the proceeds of crime and it is believed that members of Japan's Yakuza are also infiltrating the country. From a list of a thousand successful applicants already in Australia, the tax office could trace only seven, of whom a mere two had ever lodged tax returns. Other recent instances of the "enrichment" of Australia include the leader of the Egyptian Coptic Christians being murdered after death threats from Muslim fanatics and two Serb extremists accused of shooting a schoolboy. So much for the benefits of the "melting pot" theory, under the guise of which our cousins Down Under have had to accept almost five million migrants from 120 countries since the end of the last war.

* * * *

MUCH thought is being given to reducing the Army in one fashion or another. Probably the idea is that if one has enough missiles one does not need any soldiers. In any case, now that Russia is on the path to "reform and democracy," there will not be any more wars. Possibly the easiest thing to do would be to disband the armed forces completely and request the United Nations to protect us in case of trouble. For ceremonial purposes the Household Cavalry and the Brigade of Guards could be privatised, thus providing a trained body of men for use as extras in films.

ULSTER'S CLOSE SHAVE

"NOT being fools, the Unionists have rejected Lord Carrington as 'neutral chairman' of the projected talks between the Northern Ireland parties and the Government of the Irish Republic. Was his nomination a joke, a calculated insult to the Unionists or a trap to put them further in the wrong in the eyes of the ignorant? Or did somebody think that the man who cleverly engineered the handing over of Rhodesia to the forces of 'majority rule' in 1980 might be just the man to pull off a corresponding trick in Ireland in 1991?"

PETER SIMPLE in *The Sunday Telegraph*

RESEARCHER seeks donations of nationalist publications from 1980 up to the present day for use in book on British Nationalism. Please write to Paul Cox, 20 Tilbury Terrace, Leeds LS11 0DA.

CANDOUR NEEDS MORE SUBSCRIBERS! HAVE YOU SECURED ONE YET?

From Under The Carpet Of History

THE ENIGMA OF THE ROTHSCHILD SOCIAL CIRCLE

by CHRISTOPHER GILBERT

[IN the **Sunday Telegraph** of 30th June there appeared a report that Nigel West's new book, **Seven Spies Who Changed The World**, names Lord Victor Rothschild, who died last year, as a member of the Communist Party while an undergraduate at Cambridge. His friends there included the traitors Guy Burgess and Anthony Blunt. In later years, Rothschild became the head of Edward Heath's "Think Tank" in Downing Street.

[Rothschild tried unsuccessfully to sue Mr West - who in his other role is the Conservative M.P. for Torbay, Rupert Allason - over a previous book, **Molehunt**. In 1986 he wrote a letter to the **Daily Telegraph** demanding that Mrs Thatcher clear him of charges that he was, after Blunt, the "fifth man" in a Soviet spy ring. Mrs. Thatcher did, but in a way that was described in one obituary of Rothschild as "almost insultingly laconic." Now we know why?

[This article by Christopher Gilbert was written shortly after Mrs Thatcher's statement to the House of Commons - Editor.]

*

NOTHING is more false than the axiom that a man may be judged by the company he keeps. The most terrible injustice can follow any failure to keep this fact constantly in mind. Readers of this journal should perhaps make no false association from the comment by the **Daily Mail's** Geoffrey Levy that the name of Lord Rothschild has long been connected with the Communist traitor and homosexual, Anthony Blunt.

Levy reported that for two years during their joint days in MI5, Rothschild and Blunt shared a flat. They remained good friends for a considerable period after Blunt's confession - until, indeed, the traitor was publicly exposed in 1979. Lord Rothschild, however, was one among many men of irreproachable conduct who did not disdain to call Anthony Blunt their friend. It might be that he feels grave embarrassment because of the kindness he had shown Blunt over the years. Conceivably, this is what prevents him following Geoffrey Levy's advice to speak out in explanation of his conduct.

Another Flat Mate

Curiously, no newspaper has ventured to draw attention to the claim that Lord Rothschild moved in the same circle as another individual who eventually turned out to be a Communist traitor. For Nathaniel Mayer Victor Rothschild was at one time a close personal friend of Guy Burgess. Indeed, it was alleged by A.K. Chesterton in **The New Unhappy Lords** that, as in Blunt's case, for a time Burgess shared Rothschild's flat. This "man of massive intellect" would seem to have been very naive in some of his acquaintances. So, too, were other Establishment friends of Burgess, like Sir Harold Nicholson and Randolph Churchill.

The name of Guy Burgess should need little introduction to readers. A Cambridge undergraduate contemporaneous with Blunt (they were both members of the leftist and homosexually-inclined Apostles' Club),

Burgess was discovered to be a Communist agent as early as 1944. He was exposed by Maurice Webb, a former Minister of the Crown, when both worked at the B.B.C. Webb secured his dismissal on those grounds, but was surprised to find Burgess reappeared four years later at the Foreign Office in the sensitive post of personal assistant to its then Minister of State. He was even more dumbfounded to see Burgess being kept on despite his warning and even though he had access to top-level British and American secrets. Under whose patronage did this occur?

Subsequently, Burgess defected to Moscow, where he derived satisfaction from living in a land wherein his ideological "principles" were enshrined and his homosexual proclivities falteringly fulfilled. There was another strand to the Rothschild-Burgess nexus, subsequently. Once in Moscow, Burgess gave a newspaper interview to the Left-wing Labour M.P., Tom Driberg. He claimed that before the war, Rothschild's mother paid him a retainer of £1,200 a year to act "on a quite informal basis" as her financial adviser! The prospect of so very young a man acting in such a capacity to one bearing a name symbolising the vast power and patronage of international finance at the very least must bring a wry smile to the face of the reader!

Scope For Speculation

What was Lord Rothschild's game plan in seeming habitually to fish in such pretty muddy waters, as Geoffrey Levy so pertinently asked. Was he the victim of an unscrupulous plan by Communist traitors such as Burgess and Blunt? Was their aim to cultivate so rich and powerful a figure so as to cause the maximum discomfiture at some later stage? Were that the explanation, why did the storm not take place? The Communists possess newspapers all over the world. Why did they not name Lord Rothschild as the friend of Burgess after the latter fled to sanctuary in Moscow?

Surely Lord Rothschild would be the last person to wish to have this explosive matter soft-pedalled. His open letter to the Editor of the **Daily Telegraph** reveals him to be aware of the danger of false associations. It is not our intention to suggest that Burgess and Blunt were in any way sponsored by Rothschild or that he was privy to their secret purposes, for we have no evidence to support such a suggestion. Nevertheless, few Establishment figures have been involved in so unfortunate a set of acquaintances. In the interest of erasing any shadow of impropriety from his name, we feel Lord Rothschild must not continue to confine the full facts to within his own private circle.

Postscript - Although the Home Secretary had the final responsibility, in the early summer of 1940 Lord Victor Rothschild was "active in carrying out the Government's internment policy" (**Sunday Times**, 22/6/47). Indeed, we understand his judgement, as a member of the Defence Security Executive, to have been instrumental in the task of rounding up and detaining without trial hundreds of patriotic British men and women under the iniquitous Defence Regulation 18B. Many of these unfortunate individuals selected for detention had been associated with the Mosley movement long before its attitude to a possible war had even become known. Their treatment was in conformity with a principle the direct opposite of which we urged readers to adopt to Lord Rothschild in his 1986 predicament. But now we know! We look forward with eager anticipation to the "incontrovertible evidence" promised in Nigel West's book - C.G.

ARE CHURCH LEADERS CONCERNED ABOUT TRUTH?

by FRANK BROOKES

I ASK the question because I fear that Christian leaders - or some of them at any rate - may be inclined either to side with Israel against Arabs and Palestinians or to pay more attention to the "rights" of the Israelis vis-a-vis those of Palestinians. The question is aired publicly here because a letter of mine to Cardinal Hume on precisely this issue has been ignored.

Political issues apart, I consider this to be rude. Even if he had not wanted to take up the issue with me at least he could have replied politely to that effect. My letter to the Cardinal was dated February 18th of this year and did arrive at his office because I telephoned, in the absence of any acknowledgement, to find out.

Awkward Questions?

I asked Cardinal Hume why he felt that it was morally justifiable for Britain to undertake military action to enforce a United Nations resolution demanding that Iraq leave Kuwait, while no action had been taken for over twenty years over a similar UN resolution saying that Israel should withdraw from the Occupied Territories. My letter was sent following a Granada TV programme highlighting the plight of Palestinians living under Israeli occupation - 24-hour curfew, unable to go to work, limited time to go shopping, Palestinians being shot and their homes destroyed by Israeli military forces.

I asked whether or not these conditions had been condemned by the Cardinal himself or by the Church. Was the Church, in fact, aware of what was happening to Palestinians, and if not, had it not a moral duty to be so aware and, if the situation warranted, to speak out? Finally, I asked Cardinal Hume to take full cognisance of the Israeli-Palestinian situation and to use his influence to ensure that it received equal consideration to that involving Iraq and Kuwait.

I acted honestly and stated that I was a Catholic freelance journalist who might use his reply in a future article. Alas for asking such questions! Alas for being so honest about my intentions! My letter was treated as though it had been written in invisible ink!

Catholic Church leaders, like Anglican and others, often urge their people to be politically aware and to get involved. Do they really mean this, or are such exhortations just pious humbug and do they mean by involvement activity only on such issues and on such sides as they happen to be fighting? Over eight weeks of silence from the Cardinal is not an encouraging sign.

CATHOLIC TAPES FROM FOREST HOUSE

Bishop Richard Williamson Speaks On Liberalism and Communism
Fr Charles Coughlin On The Air G.K. Chesterton Broadcasts & Poems
The Mass At Downham Market Praying The Rosary Chants Des Camps CRC
The Menace Of Freemasonry The Distraction Of Evolution

£4 each from CANDOUR RESEARCH DEPARTMENT

THE AMAZING BANTER

by BERNARD SMITH

IN June of last year Avril and I attended our first masonic service. It was publicly advertised, which I gather is rather unusual. It was held by the Provincial Grand Lodge of Sussex in Christ Church, Worthing - a rather fine-looking church built with local flints. Walking there in the afternoon we noticed several men going in the same direction all attired in suits of solemn black. Innocently, we attached no importance to this: I privately thought them to be undertakers. Arriving at the church, we found it to be full of several hundred undertakers. My own sports jacket embarrassingly proclaimed the fact that we alone in that huge congregation were not "on the square." I have never seen so many black suits together.

Masonic Liturgy

We were handed a printed form of service which I have in front of me now. I have read in books on masonry that their services omit the name of Jesus Christ and it was certainly true of this service. But the three hymns they sang included William Williams' "Guide Me, O Thou Great Redeemer" which seems inconsistent. The prayers avoid mention of His name and this necessitates doctoring some that are taken from the Anglican Prayer Book. Thus the General Thanksgiving was used minus the two references to Christ. There was not the same apprehensiveness about the Third Person of the Trinity: the Absolution assured us of "the grace and comfort of His Holy Spirit." Another hymn was "Thou Whose Almighty Word." This presented a nice theological dilemma: the second and third verses symbolically combine "Life-giving Holy Dove" with Noah's dove so they could, at a pinch - a masonic pinch - be left in. But what can we do with the fourth and last verse: "Holy and Blessed Three, Glorious Trinity"? There is no way of making that symbolically acceptable to Jewish Masons, so out come the scissors: snip, snip.

At the end of the service a "Patriarchal Benediction" was pronounced from the chancel. "May the God of our Fathers, the God of Abraham, the God of Isaac and the God of Jacob; the Angel of the Everlasting Covenant, who hath redeemed us from all evil; the Holy One who sanctified us; bless, preserve and keep us now and for evermore. Amen." It looks rather as if "the Angel...who hath redeemed us" is Jesus Christ. Somehow he sneaked past the censor. The service was conducted by an Anglican, the Rev. F.H.B. Leese, Vicar of Rogate, near Petersfield. He is also Provincial Grand Chaplain. I wrote to him asking if the Angel was indeed Christ. It was a pleasant letter. It is a year later and I have abandoned hope of a reply.

Incidentally, my title comes from a remark of John Wesley's: "What an amazing banter upon all mankind is Freemasonry! And what a secret it is which so many concur to keep! From what motive? Through fear or shame to own it?"

BERNARD SMITH publishes a quarterly newsletter entitled **Half-Open Eye**. Only £2 per annum from 30 Clifton Road, Worthing, Sussex BN11 4DP.

Read **LIVERPOOL NEWSLETTER**, edited by Anthony Cooney. £3 per annum from Rose Cottage, 17 Hadassah Grove, Lark Lane, Liverpool L17 8XH.

PASSPORT TO PEERAGE

LADY Tories gathered keen
To be addressed by Tory queen
Thatcher of the non-U-turn
Whose bearings did, albeit, burn-
Out through constant somersault
When policies ground to a halt.
Privatised utilities
Stopped short at Public Lava-
tories,
Tories quick to ascertain
Investment going down the drain!
Always ready to obey
The dictum of the U.S.A.
None less the U.S. of S.R.
Is overnight quite wunderbar
Every little nation-state
Gets commerce as the soul dictate.
Europe? Quite another thing
Sovereignty its hook could sling!
But at last the game was up
The Iron Maid was sold a pup;
Biting more than she could chew
The lady met her Waterloo,
Demanding the tax called Poll
It was the block, the Head to roll.
Passing time and volte-face
Like Alice through the looking-
glass
Mrs T. enjoins "Beware"
For Britain in the Euro-snare.
Where once Hawker Fury flew
The ex-P.M. expounds anew
Slanging Europe's federal aims
She turns the heart of Tory dames
Witnessing the Great Goodbye
Her audience from Rent-a-Cry!
Tears and all to grace T.V.
For Rt. Hon. Member, East
Finchley.
Thus all options to confuse
And grapple pre-election blues.

B.E. BIGGS

OPEN SESAME is an anthology of the poems of B.E. Biggs. Only £1 from Candour Publishing Company, Forest House, Liss Forest, Hants GU33 7DD

Read SPEARHEAD. Edited by John Tyndall and published in support of the British National Party. Sample copy 87p from P.O. Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

BELLOC ON ISLAM

"MILLIONS of modern people of the white civilisation - that is the civilisation of Europe and America - have forgotten all about Islam. They have never come into contact with it. They take it for granted that it is decaying and that, anyway, it is just a foreign religion which will not concern them. It is, in fact, the most formidable and persistent enemy which our civilisation has had, and may, at any moment, become as large a menace in the future as it has been in the past...

"It has always seemed to me possible, and even probable, that there would be a resurrection of Islam and that our sons or grandsons would see the renewal of that tremendous struggle between the Christian culture and what has been for more than a thousand years its greatest opponent... For my part I cannot but believe that a main unexpected thing of the future is the return of Islam.

"Since religion is at the root of all political movements and changes, and since we have here a very great religion physically paralysed but morally intensely alive, we are in the presence of an unstable equilibrium that cannot remain permanently unstable... In Islam there has been no dissolution of ancestral doctrine - or, at any rate, nothing corresponding to the universal break-up of religion in Europe... The final fruit of this tenacity, the second period of Islamic power may be delayed: but I doubt whether it can be permanently postponed."

The Great Heresies [1938]

CANDOUR SUBSCRIPTION RATES

U.K. £8 OVERSEAS £10
U.S. \$15 AIR MAIL

Printed and published by Candour Publishing Company, Forest House, Liss Forest, Hampshire GU33 7DD.
[Editor: Rosine de Bounevialle]